

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 Address:

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ion, 5.0. 2020 i	AT
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMI	ED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/499,069	02/04/00	WRIGHT		· <b>T</b>	77017.002
-			ູ່ ¬	EXAMINER	
ROBERT BERG	STROM	QM12/100	<del></del>	CARTE	ER, M
BLACK LOWE	& GRAHAM PLI	_C		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
816 SECOND	AVENUE				12
SEATTLE WA	98104			3722	•
				DATE MAILED	<b>)</b> :
				•	10/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

: 1

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/499,069	WRIGHT, TED EUGENE					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Monica S. Carter	3722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repling reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH. a. cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 4S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	<u>July 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has be	en received.					
Attachmer		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of in	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

i.

•

Application/Control Number: 09/499,069

Art Unit: 3722

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skelton ('611) in view of Cowan ('396) and further in view of Jacobs et al. ('737). Skelton discloses a single sheet form (23) (advertising medium for use in promoting hotels, restaurants, and the like (col. 1, lines 6-14)) comprising an unfolded form including a number of lines of perforations (44, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, 56, and 58); the form including pre-printed textual and graphical information (col. 4, lines 53-65); the form being rectangular and having a greater vertical dimension than a horizontal dimension (col. 4, lines 28-35); and a lower tab (43) being separated from a central portion of the form by a horizontal line of perforations (45); wherein a packet is formed by folding the packet about the horizontal line of perforations and then about the vertical line of perforations.

Regarding the form being a key packet, in particular, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result

Application/Control Number: 09/499,069

Art Unit: 3722

in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In this case, the form of Skelton is capable of performing the intended use of being a key packet, as claimed.

Skelton discloses the claimed invention except for a registration form placed at the top portion of the form and separated from the form by a line of perforations.

Cowan discloses a form (12) having a tab portion (form) (22) extending from the top of the form (12) and separated from the form (12) by a line of perforations (54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the packet of Skelton to include a detachable form at the top of the packet, as taught by Cowan, to provide an additional detachable flap for surrendering to someone for registration, ticketing, couponing, etc.

Regarding the form being a registration form, in particular, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In this case, the form (tab portion) of Skelton, as modified by Cowan, is capable of performing the intended use of being a registration form, as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/499,069

Art Unit: 3722

Skelton, as modified by Cowan, discloses the claimed invention except for one or more die-cut slots in the unfolded packet for retaining a number of keys.

Jacobs et al. discloses a combined key holder and booklet having a die-cut slit (40) which is capable of retaining a hotel key. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the packet of Skelton to include a die-cut slit, as taught by Jacobs et al., to provide a retention means for items to be placed in the packet.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skelton in 3. view of Cowan and Jacobs et al. and further in view of Zoland ('956). Skelton, as modified by Cowan and Jacobs et al., discloses the claimed invention except for the key being selected from a group consisting of metal and magnetic key cards.

Zoland discloses a thin leaf suitable for use as a card or key carrying case for holding hotel keys wherein the keys may be and ordinary metallic key or a flat card key with a magnetic stripe or other mechanism for encoding a key (col. 5, lines 9-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the packet of Skelton to include a magnetic key card for insertion into the pocket of the packet, as taught by Zoland, to provide an insert into the packet that may be used to obtain entry into a secure room and/or area.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/499,069

Art Unit: 3722

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 AM - 5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

mc

October 4, 2001

A. L. WELLINGTON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

# Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

# INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

## 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal tetter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

# 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the approach on will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than a prection of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed analyses.

### Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application